

Why the fruits of the proposed Decorum are rotten

By Denise Mraz

February 4, 2025

Proposed Decorum Statement with Denise's comments/suggestions
Decorum of The Nevada Assembly

We, the people of The Nevada Assembly (TNA), hold these guidelines of Decorum for all of our Assemblies and Committee meetings; We are capable of accomplishing our priority; We are discussing the serious future of Nevadans and building The Nevada Assemblies to align with our mission; We, Nevadans, treat each other with respect; We meet face to face as a community, whether in body or in virtual space, as neighbors, familiar with each other, to one common goal; We listen to opinions and ideas, in the spirit of free speech, with willingness to consider the merits and comprehend concepts;

We establish an order and mutual manner for the recognition of Assembly members; All members, when joining online meetings, must have their name appearing on their profile;

All members must raise their hand or state "May I" to be recognized by the Chairman/Coordinator/Moderator/MaA or Committee Chair;

We will approach discussion with proper spirit and language;

We, the people of TNA, cooperate with each other to achieve our ultimate goal, the reconstruction of our lawful government.

Breaking Decorum and Disciplinary Measures The Nevada Assembly guidelines for maintaining peace and decorum in an appropriate manner: Outbursts, rancor or rudeness in the 'Chat', that interrupts the business and peace of any meeting within The Nevada Assembly will not be tolerated and will be met with the following procedure:

- 1) The Marshal-At-Arms/Moderator will first mute the participant and warn them of their behavior.
- 2) The Marshal-At-Arms/Moderator will, upon a second occurrence, remove the participant to a 'Breakout' Room or physical room for a discussion. The Marshal-At-Arms/Moderator determines if the participant may be returned to The Assembly meeting or if removal is required for the duration of the meeting. If any of us fail to conduct ourselves in a manner consistent with the values of Self-governance, we will be given the opportunity to correct the mistake. In this way, we help each other to both keep the peace, and to uphold the Public Law. To this end, the Ombudsmen are here to provide us with assistance and guidance. If needed, the Assembly will provide additional assistance; and where necessary, fair, and just consequences for inappropriate behavior.

3) If upon subsequent meetings the Participant cannot control their behavior and follow decorum the Participant may, upon the vote of The Nevada General Assembly, be subject to the following discipline: - "the member/participant" shall be allowed to attend upcoming assembly meetings with the stipulation that for the next two (2) meetings he or she shall not be allowed to speak and must remain silent during all meeting proceedings. All voting rights shall remain in At Arms/Moderator determines if the participant may be returned to The Assembly meeting or if removal is required for the duration of the meeting. If any of us fail to conduct ourselves in a manner consistent with the values of Self-governance, we will be given the opportunity to correct the mistake. In this way, we help each other to both keep the peace, and to uphold the Public Law. To this end, the Ombudsmen are here to provide us with assistance and guidance. If needed, the Assembly will provide additional assistance; and where necessary, fair, and just consequences for inappropriate behavior.

Denise's Comments & Solutions

I'm requesting this document, unchanged, be displayed at the meeting on a shared screen by the GE committee and also sent out in advance of the meeting to everyone who was on the original list serve for The Assembly General Assembly. This author has asked for, repeatedly, the names and contact for every State committee, every member and all positions filled and vacant for over one month with no response from The Coordinator other than a blank list of positions.

- 1) The terms *outburst*, *rancor* and *rudeness* are all subjective terms.
- 2) These terms are only subject to a proposed gate keeper – the Marshal At Arms /Moderator. (MAA)
- 3) Proposed time frames are highly punitive and just the fact that an author(s) of this suggestion for decorum believed these would even be remotely acceptable is a clear indicator the authors have failed miserably to understand their role as facilitators.
- 4) AVR has numerous articles on the subject of time outs, unearned muting, prohibition of speaking, punitive timelines, opinions being asserted as facts, and all the opposites being misused for subjective issues because the ego of the MAA and others is unchecked.
- 5) The following was observed by me on 1/26 on Clark County at the Assembly Meeting: "Decorum" has misapplied interruptions and other filibuster style moves of this MAA is the very same Marshal At Arms who you are recommending to be a moderator. His actions set back the Clark county Assembly so greatly that The Assembly had to hold a second meeting just to complete our work, which dishonors the time of our Assembly and members.
- 6) It appeared two Assembly members on 2/3 at the Special Purpose Meeting, the follow up Meeting, had conspired to derail The Assembly and The meeting with opinions; however, this

derailment was ended but only after 20 minutes of their repetitive abuse on The Assembly for which their measure was voted on and it was determined 20-2 that their motion to adopt a pause was determined to be unwarranted for their stated concern. It also was a reflection of the great minds that assisted them with concocting the idea in the first place and warrants a closer look why anyone would need to stop the Assembly from achieving it's 4 Pillars goal. Coinciding with this, the measure was brought to the floor by two people that didn't attend the 1/26 meeting which had already voted to move forward.

- 7) Nowhere in any MAA training does it say that subjective definitions should be used to define the ability to curtail or prohibit Free Speech.

This recommendation brought to The Assembly is worse than defacto because it guarantees that only one person is the "judge" of above subjective terms. And also it is not subjecting the MAA to his own form of "law and order" In this particular case, this person has manipulated and "throws shade" on valid activities such as elections and suggestions by framing insults, accusations as inquisitive comment and espousing thinly veiled comments to make it appear to reasonable person the activities should be called into question when:

- 8) All People have access to the Four Pillars, The Coordinators Handbook, videos and website of AVR, PKTF materials, The HowToBuildAnAssembly and now the MAA training. So any learned person has the right to access these materials 24/7. Everyone that joins The Assembly is told from the very first moment this is as much of an organization to restore the lawful government as it is an Educational Foundation. Even the least keen observer can see this by the sheer volume of information it takes to implement such a worthy and wonderful cause.
- 9) Now it is plausible this MAA's will attempt a later venue "public apology" of his behavior and use the excuse that he was so distraught by his feelings and emotions over the loss of a colleague and that he was out of line, but that would also be an inauthentic course correction move. This does not restore the time wasted and the living hell the people at the meeting were subject to at the time this was occurring. Also, he committed egregious violations of the meeting including but not limited to not signing properly to the meeting, failure and refusal to It also exhausted the ability of the participants and at the very end, he emotionally upset and annihilated them with an outburst which was a lie.

MAA failed to Politely raise his hand, filibustered, and asserted false statements framed as questions to thinly veil his intent which it appeared was designed to undermine the meeting runners. His only job there was to observe and protect us from any harm because he signed in the roster as an MAA. His contact commentary of Clark County business, almost every word was uttered in complete defilement of his role because he signed in as an MAA. So why didn't he protect u from the homeless people who continually disrupted the meeting? Why didn't he apologize right then and there to our Sheriff who called him out on his duplicitous ongoing rude, rancor and outbursts?

It is abundantly clear from the 1/26 after meeting comments of the body politic the MAA had abused his station by dominating the meeting and in fact people were bullied by his behavior. They found it reprehensible.

10) Whats worse is MAA entrusted to the State Assembly mute button took an oath to protect the peace, and he and his wife, 5 days after his rancor, his outburst, his defamation, his filibuster, his slander and his unauthorized statements regarding receipt of prosperity funds for the good people of Nevada, 5 days later used The Nevada Assembly list serve to disturb the peace and he participated at the very least by refusing to stop a one way character assassination in the court of public opinion where we all live and work. This damages The Assembly. It is plausible his wife will also feign apology and cite "extreme duress" for sending an unhinged diatribe of accusations, presumptions, and lies in her publicly proclaimed 1/31 communiqué. But this is another thinly veiled abuse of power. The intent of the parties both he and his wife as living man and living woman is clear, they wanted to harm The People in many ways, and in fact, did harm them all. It was further abuse because they knew better, then used their station and living word and deed to influence others.

11) However this will also be exposed as corrupt because "as a thing is done, it must be undone" and his wife and himself have failed to apologize and in fact acquiesced twice in the same manner as they committed the trespass. Adding insult to a trail of injury, it is believed and it appears these two conspired with others to abuse their station, abuse the list serve and in fact committed fraud upon the people by printing false statements regarding procedures, regarding people and regarding the true and known circumstances of the claims, which is manipulation. All and any manipulation is a form of a lie and lies published and spoken are harassment, fraud, and abuse of power.

The reason this abuses The People is volunteers are needed to serve The Assembly and become recognized as a State by which is self-governed to be trustees of their inheritance. These same volunteers were slandered, and maligned to disintegrate public trust, and be subject to unwarranted suspicion and concern where there should have been praise, positive love and kudos of support.

12) The talkative adult People come in many flavors and because of personal beliefs and opinions, believe that uncordial, even disrespectful extremes such as:

- "beating a dead horse",
- exhausting the members
- filibustering through inane and unlearned opinion,
- subjecting everyone to their chronic misuse of the floor or control of it
- repeatedly asserting volatile unreasonable demands
- expressing biased opinions and accusatory statements thinly veiled as "protecting the Assembly" or other manipulations so the individual can be "on the record"
- ad nauseum repetition of already answered questions and presented facts

-“Monday morning quarterbacking” every statement, action and progression when they themselves failed to participate in the process or become disrespected participants. *Thank goodness The 4 Pillars training has clear instruction on dealing with all of these issues, and the People are welcome to read it.*

Here’s a tip: If your statement or comment must be preempted by an introductory statement, it’s not valid or worthy of an authentic discussion, an example:

Speaker states: *Well, I’m not trying to attack anyone, but.....*

Again I will say.....

I can’t say it enough.....

The reason for this is you are literally delaying the progress of The Nation because you are unable to directly state what you want to say to make a point, and if your point needs a preemptive/introductory statement it usually indicates it is the exact opposite of what you should be thinking and doing! This is not about entitled rights, styles of communication, etc. If you have trouble directly communicating come to the meeting with prepared ideas.

Our Talkative people also happen to be Militia and all Assembly Militia are defined as adults living on the land and owning their property, which is also themselves-they are their own property created by The Creator. The created own every thought creation, word creation and deed creation and most have been trained to tolerate, or exhibit all the above tendencies. Entitled people believe such above behaviors are publicly acceptable manners /forms of interacting with others of equal footing(everyone else) to them, *and it’s not.*

A sharing from Denise:

The First Amendment, freedom of speech(includes writing on stone tablets to a computer), has a *right to speak with the equal right and responsibility of being a learned person of the subject in the forum.* Also free speech/free writing/free expression comes with it the *mighty duty of truth seeking.* Socratic method teaching trains people to listen intently and then speak with the intent of educating themselves or others, so that all parties may become the best version of themselves. Aristotle method orders such knowledge in our library of memory and thus together produces a civil society that only bears arms when all other methods are exhausted.

And there we have the pinnacle principle of American Common Law principle calls The Golden Rule.

Thus it is the exuberance of right and responsibility and duty in tandem that meets The Will governed by both good and evil. And for that grace is necessary. In our adulting, we need to mirror to the good, good, and in equal measure, when faced with bad, call it out for what it is and show good, and people to people will often escalate to the mediation needed by our Jural Assembly.

Thus the power of the mute button should lay within ourselves first.

The mute button and all free speech is necessary. In my Toastmasters training, the very first speech anyone gives in the 19 month course has to contain views/comments on the value of time of the listener as every moment that passes us by, when we seek enrichment for growth, is a lost opportunity to grow or further our pursuit of happiness if it didn't facilitate our growth. When we value other's time, we gain respect that is deserved.

The fruits of this proposed Decorum are rotten to the core since they assert a "starting point" policy with punitive procedural suggestions and damaging regularity which is the exact same and continuance of the abuses we have all already seen and felt by the proposed holder of the mute button in the months proceeding today.

Any, all, and every time an utterance, or a pen, is lifted to paper or airwaves in defiance of The Golden Rule, it has the potential to shadow the golden light from which all truth flows and tarnish the creation it illuminates. At times this truth can and will flow through The Refiner's Fire; however, this fire burns in all to deepen our wisdom and know truth, be truth.

As our brothers keeper, they have foisted and compelled their own duty to apply the refiners fire onto us, thus a process is needed.

Solutions

- Everyone on The Assembly has a fine schedule. Therefore, any and all words will be subject to that fine schedule.
- Speakers, listeners and volunteers are investing valuable time, let it be to restore the government.
- If muting is compulsory after three asks then it is only for the subject at hand, not the whole meeting or beyond the meeting. If the person is unable to be a law faring adult militia, then it needs to be brought to their attention publicly and they can apologize, or not. The offending individual can submit comments in the chat about all subjects from then on.
- That expressing views and opinions are not violence, rancor, outbreking or abuse unless they trespass. Trespassing definition is required. *Here's one: Improper Act with intent to harm.*
- Individual can be told publicly that harmed individual(s) consider it a trespass and ask for an apology.
- Time outs until we move onto the next subject is suggested.
- Weak people or people easily offended can state their feelings are hurt easily is not necessarily a trespass. They feel annoyed, frustrated, angry, and may state so. Differing opinions and my way/his way are not necessarily trespasses. Then revert to the golden rule. If participants are

improper, the equal and opposite procedure should be related in weight to the infraction/trespass. The business of The Assembly is to conduct business.

If an individual continually trespasses and prohibits the business then the way they are prohibiting the business can be stated so everyone can go on and they can learn why it's not cool to continue in any forum, ever.

Another useful guide is :

In a public meeting, say what you need to say as succinctly as possible.

-Physical and Spoken violence are never tolerated and The Assembly recognizes that physical violence including energy attacks to laying a violent hand on each other is for referral to the Jural Assembly.

I believe the document could be improved by refining definitions and using the terms of the Assembly such as course correcting, apology and applying the maxims of law instead of arbitrary open ended ideas and protocols, when it's clear the MAA cannot even remotely apply to himself.

Decorum is as much about intention as it is the act of untoward behavior. And so should the procedural process.